

Quasi exactly solvable matrix models in $sl(n)$

Y. Brihaye

Department of Mathematical Physics

University of Mons

Av. Maistriau, B-7000 MONS, Belgium.

Piotr Kosinski *

Department of Theoretical Physics

University of Lodz

Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Lodz, Poland

Abstract

We reconsider the quasi exactly solvable matrix models constructed recently by R. Zhdanov. The 2×2 matrix operators representing the algebra $sl(2)$ are generalized to matrices of arbitrary dimension and a similar construction is achieved for the algebra $sl(n)$.

*† Work supported by grant n° KBN 2P03B07610

1. Recently Zhdanov [1],[2] considered the realizations of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ algebra in terms of first order matrix differential operators. It reads

$$Q_- = \frac{d}{dx} \quad , \quad Q_0 = x \frac{d}{dx} + A \quad , \quad Q_+ = x^2 \frac{d}{dx} + 2xA + B \quad (1)$$

$$[Q_0, Q_{\pm}] = \pm Q_{\pm} \quad , \quad [Q_-, Q_+] = 2Q_0 \quad (2)$$

where A and B are (in general complex) $L \times L$ matrices obeying

$$[A, B] = B \quad (3)$$

This realization acts in the tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^L$ with the linear space of smooth functions. In the following this vector space is denoted V . Zhdanov posed and solved, in the case $L = 2$, the problem of characterizing the invariant finite-dimensional subspaces of the representation space V .

In the present note we give the general characterization of such subspaces. The main result is that they all basically arise from the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of the tensor product of the differential representation of spin $n/2$,

$$q_- = \frac{d}{dx} \quad , \quad q_0 = x \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{n}{2} \quad , \quad q_+ = x^2 \frac{d}{dx} - nx \quad , \quad (4)$$

with the standard matrix representation of some spin s .

2. Let us first consider the general form of two finite-dimensional operators X, Y obeying

$$[X, Y] = Y \quad (5)$$

It follows from eq. (5) that

$$(X - (\lambda + m))^n Y^m = Y^m (X - \lambda)^n \quad (6)$$

for all integers $m, n \geq 0$.

Let us put X in Jordan form. The space R in which X and Y act is spanned by the (generalized) eigenvectors of X . Let ψ be some (generalized) eigenvector of X corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Then $Y\psi, Y^2\psi, \dots$ correspond to the

eigenvalues $\lambda + 1, \lambda + 2, \dots$ and, if non vanishing, are linearly independent. This implies $Y^k \psi = 0$ for some $k \leq N$, where N is the dimension of the vector space under consideration. Therefore Y is nilpotent, $Y^K \equiv 0$ for some $K \leq N$. Note in passing that if we apply this result to $X = -Q_0, Y = Q_-$, restricted to some finite-dimensional subspace of V we get at once the conclusion that such a subspace consists of vectors with polynomial entries.

In order to get more information about the structure of the operators X and Y , let us define λ to be an *isolated* (generalized) eigenvalue of X if neither $\lambda - 1$ nor $\lambda + 1$ are (generalized) eigenvalues. Let R_0 be the direct sum of all subspaces of R which correspond to the Jordan blocks of X related to isolated eigenvalues. Then, due to eq. (6), R_0 is invariant under the action of X and Y , $Y|_{R_0} = 0$ and the whole space R is a sum of R_0 and of the invariant subspace R_1 corresponding to the remaining Jordan blocks of X . Due to the definition of isolated eigenvalues the set of remaining eigenvalues is a disjoint sum of finite collection of chains Λ_α consisting of eigenvalues differing by one, $\Lambda_\alpha = \{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha + 1, \dots, \lambda_\alpha + n_\alpha - 1\}$, $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. The subspace R_1 can be again written as $R_1 = \oplus_\alpha R_\alpha$, where R_α corresponds to the Jordan blocks related to $\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha + 1, \dots, \lambda_\alpha + n_\alpha - 1$; each R_α is an invariant subspace under the action of X and Y .

However, it is obviously not the end of the story. The subspaces corresponding to some $\lambda_\alpha + L, \lambda_\alpha + L + 1$ can be (but not necessarily are) related by the action of Y . Therefore each chain Λ_α can further be decomposed into disjoint sum of subchains consisting of the eigenvalues having the property that the subspaces corresponding to consecutive eigenvalues are related by the action of Y operator. Any subspace R_α can be correspondingly decomposed in direct sum of invariant subspace corresponding to such subchains. Therefore we have only to characterize such subspaces. Let S be one of them. One can write, according to the Jordan theorem,

$$S = \oplus_{i=1}^K S_i \quad (7)$$

where S_i is the generalized eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i = \lambda + (i - 1)$ of X . The basis in S_i is spanned by the vectors $\psi_{i,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n_i$, $n_i = \dim S_i$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{i,j} &= (X - \lambda_i)^{j-1} \psi_{i,1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i \\ (X - \lambda_i)^{n_i} \psi_{i,1} &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

According to our definition of S and to eq. (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}YS_i &\subset S_{i+1}, \quad YS_i \neq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, K-1 \\ YS_K &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Let us describe in more detail the map $Y : S_i \rightarrow S_{i+1}$. First we note that $Y\psi_{i,1} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, K-1$. Indeed, if $Y\psi_{i,1} = 0$, then

$$Y\psi_{i,j} = Y(X - \lambda_i)^{j-1} \psi_{i,1} = (X - (\lambda_i + 1))^{j-1} Y\psi_{i,1} = 0\tag{10}$$

contrary to the definition of S . Therefore, by choosing an appropriate normalization one can write

$$Y\psi_{i,1} = \psi_{i+1,m_i}, \quad 1 \leq m_i \leq n_{i+1}\tag{11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}Y\psi_{i,j} &= Y(X - \lambda_i)^{j-1} \psi_{i,1} = (X - (\lambda_i + 1))^{j-1} Y\psi_{i,1} \\ &= (X - \lambda_{i+1})^{j-1} \psi_{i+1,m_i} = \begin{cases} \psi_{i+1,j+m_i-1} & \text{if } j + m_i - 1 \leq n_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

The vectors $\psi_{i,n_i} \equiv (X - \lambda_i)^{n_i-1} \psi_{i,1}$ are the eigenvectors of X . Therefore

$$(X - (\lambda_i + 1))Y\psi_{i,n_i} = Y(X - \lambda_i)\psi_{i,n_i} = 0\tag{13}$$

which implies either $Y\psi_{i,n_i} = 0$ or $Y\psi_{i,n_i} = \psi_{i+1,n_{i+1}}$. So finally we conclude that $n_i + m_i - 1 \geq n_{i+1}$. Our subspace S is uniquely characterized by

- an arbitrary complex number λ ,
- an arbitrary natural number K ,
- the sets of natural numbers n_i , $i = 1, \dots, K$, m_i , $i = 1, \dots, K - 1$ obeying
:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq m_i \leq n_{i+1} , \quad i = 1, \dots , K - 1, \\ n_i + m_i - 1 \geq n_{i+1} , \quad i = 1, \dots, K - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let us note that our subspace S , being invariant under the action of X and Y , carries in general a not completely reducible representation of the algebra (5). In what follows we will be interested in some special invariant subspaces of S . Assume that $n_i + m_i - 1 = n_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, K - 1$ (if necessary, we can decompose the set of eigenvalues $\lambda_i = \lambda + i - 1$ into disjoint sum of subsets obeying these equalities and consider the corresponding decomposition of S). Then we easily conclude that the subspace of S spanned by the eigenvectors $\phi_i \equiv \psi_{i,n_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, K$ is invariant under the action of X and Y . Moreover, X and Y , when restricted to this subspace, take the form :

$$X = \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda + 1, \dots, \lambda + K - 1) \quad (15)$$

$$Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

We shall call such invariant subspaces $sl(2)$ subspaces. They are the maximal subspaces in which the operators X, Y can be extended to the irreducible representations of the $sl(2)$ algebra in the following sense. We take the traceless part of X

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{1-K}{2}, \frac{3-K}{2}, \dots, \frac{K-1}{2} \right) + \left(\lambda + \frac{K-1}{2} \right) \mathbb{I} \\ &\equiv X_0 + \left(\lambda + \frac{K-1}{2} \right) \mathbb{I} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and we define the matrix Z as

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{i(i-K)}{2} & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Then X_0, Y, Z span the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ algebra :

$$[X_0, Y] = Y \quad , \quad [X_0, Z] = -Z \quad , \quad [Z, Y] = 2X_0 \quad (19)$$

It is further obvious that any eigenvector of X belongs to a unique $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ subspace; if it belongs to R_0 , then the matrices X_0 and Z are simply zero.

3. Let us now come back to the algebra (2). We will use the results of our discussion taking $X = A, Y = B$. Let $U \subset V$ be a finite-dimensional invariant subspace. The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ algebra is semi-simple so its finite-dimensional representations are completely reducible. We can therefore take U to be irreducible. Let ψ be the lowest-weight vector, i.e. such that

$$Q_- \psi = 0 \quad , \quad Q_0 \psi = -\frac{n}{2} \psi \quad (20)$$

with n integer. It follows then that ψ is a constant eigenvector of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $-n/2$. Let S be the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ subspace of R containing ψ and let W be the tensor product of S with the linear space of smooth functions of one variable. Obviously W is invariant under the action of the algebra (1) and $W \cap U$ is nonempty ($\psi \in W \cap U$). Therefore $U = W \cap U \subset W$. Let us denote the matrices A, B restricted to W also by A, B . According to the previous discussion there exists a matrix C such that

$$A_0 = A - \frac{1}{K}(\text{Tr} A) \mathbb{I} \equiv A - \mu \mathbb{I} \quad (21)$$

together with B and C form the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ algebra. We perform in W the following similarity transformation

$$\tilde{Q}_- = e^{-xC} Q_- e^{xC} = \frac{d}{dx} + C \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_0 = e^{-xC} Q_0 e^{xC} = (x \frac{d}{dx} + \mu) + A_0 \quad (23)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_+ = e^{-xC} Q_+ e^{xC} = (x^2 \frac{d}{dx} + 2\mu x) + B \quad (24)$$

This transformation is a polynomial one (C is nilpotent!). We see that in W there acts a product of two representations of $sl(2)$: the one spanned by differential operators $\frac{d}{dx}, x \frac{d}{dx} + \mu, x^2 \frac{d}{dx} + 2\mu x$ and a matrix one. It is easy to see that it can contain a finite-dimensional one only provided $\mu = \frac{-m}{2}$ for integer m . Therefore our representation U is obtained from the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of the product of finite-dimensional differential and matrix representations.

4. The structure described by eqs. (1) can be immediately generalized to the $sl(N+1)$ algebra for any $N \geq 1$. Let us define the following generators

$$\begin{aligned} J_0^k &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \\ J_k^0 &= -x_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + C \right) - \sum_{j=1}^N x_j A_k^j - B_k \\ J_k^i &= -x_k \partial_i - A_k^i - \frac{1}{N+1} \delta_k^i \left(C - \sum_{j=1}^N A_j^j \right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, N$, where A_i^j, B_i and C are the (in general complex) matrices obeying the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [A_i^j, A_k^l] &= \delta_k^j A_i^l - \delta_i^l A_k^j \\ [A_i^k, B_l] &= \delta_l^k B_i \\ [B_i, C] &= B_i \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

the remaining commutators being vanishing. This algebra can be obtained by taking a product of a differential and of a matrix representations of $sl(N+1)$, and then by making a suitable similarity transformation. It is our guess that any finite-dimensional subrepresentation of the algebra (25) can be obtained in this way (as it was for the case $N = 1$). In any case, due to the commutation rule

$$[J_k^k, J_0^k] = J_0^k \quad (27)$$

we conclude again that J_0^k when restricted to finite-dimensional subrepresentation is nilpotent, i.e. such representation is necessarily a polynomial one.

References

- [1] R.Z. Zhdanov, Phys. Lett. **B 405** (1997) 253.
- [2] R.Z. Zhdanov, *On algebraic classification of quasi-exactly solvable matrix models*, hep-th/9708092.